

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully studied the outstanding Official Action mailed on January 9, 2007. This response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-8 and 11-12 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Cumming (US 6051024).

Although Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections, in order to expedite allowance the claims have been amended. In the amended claims, the anti-dislocation elements comprise a peripheral extension extending from a periphery of the lens, and the peripheral extension does not contact the haptic. The basis for this is in the third paragraph of page 4 of the original specification. The peripheral extension not contacting the haptic is clear from Figs. 1-2.

The recitation of claim 12 is also in the third paragraph of page 4 of the original specification. The projection member being perpendicular with respect to the anterior face of the lens is clear from Fig. 2.

Claims 6-7 have been cancelled as being extraneous. Claims 1-5, 8, 11 and 12 are accordingly deemed allowable. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
DEKEL PATENT LTD.

BY /David Klein/
David Klein, Patent Agent
Reg. No. 41,118
Tel 972-8-949-5334
Fax 972-949-5323
E-mail: dekeltd@netvision.net.il